



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

PARFOMAK, ANDREW N.  
NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS PA  
875 THIRD AVE, 8TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK NY 10022

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 28 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Wiedemann et al. :  
Application No. 10/560,207 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: December 9, 2005 :  
Attorney Docket No. 102792-508 (11271P4 :  
US) :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 23, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a proper and timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed January 7, 2009, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. A three-month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was timely obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on July 8, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed September 15, 2009.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620.00, and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

Further, 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. If the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7751.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 1797 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received.

Joan Olszewski  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions